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Summary

Nineteenth-century US print media is rife with interactions between white settlers and the wolves (and other wild canids) they slaughtered. Print played host to the evolutions of folkloric villains, heroes, and gender norms in ways that directly impacted national identity and settler conceptions of the so-called American frontier. North American frontiers provided an opportunity for settler women to embody gender roles different from those handed down to them in European folklore. What would we learn about these ideas by approaching the settler women with blood on their hands?

It was a mid-September morning in 1897 when Otto Gewehrson approached the town offices in Grand Rapids. The Minnesotan farmer carried three hides in astonishing condition: the tattered remains of three wolves, a New York Times article described a fortnight later, had borne “incontestable” witness to the bounty Otto now came to collect. Through a thick German accent, he claimed: “I killed ’em.” The clerk doubtless raised an eyebrow. All three looked “as if they had been through a contest with a buzz saw.” A frontier farmer would not kill a wolf in this fashion. “I killed ’em,” Otto insisted: “Not mit mine gun . . . mine woman killed ’em mit ein axe.”
Otto was away when his young shepherd son was attacked. When she heard the screams, yips, and panicked bleats, Mrs. Gewehrson started to run. Snatching her husband’s axe, she arrived at the tree to which the boy clung and “without a moment’s pause,” the article boasted, she began to swing. Three wolves soon lay dead, and her child was restored to her, unharmed. “Slaughtered Three Wolves,” the headline trumpeted, concluding that “no one would ever doubt the prowess of [America’s] frontier housewives.”

Mrs. Gewehrson’s story is a familiar one. Gendered reimaginings of European wolf tales appear in US print media throughout the nineteenth century—often, with an absent male figure’s abandoned axe taken up in domestic defense against similar “desperate odds.” In *Vicious: Wolves and Men in America* (2004), Jon T. Coleman includes a reference to another example, published three decades prior to Mrs. Gewehrson’s story. Indeed, the cover of an early March 1867 issue of Frank Leslie’s *Boys’ and Girls’ Weekly* provides a further exemplification: a husband attacked, another “frontier housewife” rushing to the rescue, another wolf hacked to
bloody bits by a feminine heroic figure (this time, she is too late). If the American frontier was, as Coleman describes in *Here Lies Hugh Glass* (2012), a “swindle as well as a stage for masculine regeneration,” it also offered opportunities for feminine regeneration.

Settler notions of villainous nature are born of just such bloody, gendered scenes. As Coleman’s *Vicious* and Rutherford’s *Villain, Vermin, Icon, Kin* substantiate, wolves were a national enemy. Those who killed canids
were eliminating both physical and ideological threats to colonization—incarnating, in Coleman’s words, the “anxieties” thereof, sometimes achieving national “lionization” as heroes. As the United States waged war westward, the killers of lupine villains policed the boundaries between human and predator.

An eighteenth-century print shows Marie Jeanne Vallet engaged in bloody confrontation with the Beast of Gévaudan. She is shown attempting to defend herself and her livestock from the canid in the Mercoire forest. c. 1764–67.

Accessed via Wikimedia Commons. Click here to view source.
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Men at war, ideological or otherwise, leave many axes on the ground back home—and print media proved an ideal vector for a gendered regeneration of Germanic wolf tales that paired well with the national agenda. As Hauck et al. conclude in Tracing the Heroic Through Gender, heroines “undergoing quest myths” often surface in times of war: stories of femininized civilian hardship circulate in parallel to masculine “accounts of the battlefield.” But, as Fraser et al. establish in Gender and the Victorian Periodical, print, a “liminal space and negotiating ground” for gender, was not always so binary.

Where shows of bloody, brute force like Mrs. Gewehrson displayed may otherwise have drawn gendered criticism within the nineteenth century’s masculine-controlled print market, wolf-killing frontierswomen were valorized for their subversion of contemporary gender roles. “Had she been a man,” the New York Times crooned.
in 1897, the wolves would have killed her—but “being a woman facing the most desperate odds in defense of her child,” the publication conceded, made her “more than a match.” Whereas under different circumstances, a woman participating in masculinized folk-hero roles might have earned the criticism of a nineteenth-century readership for what Fraser et al. termed “mannish” behavior, the Gewehrson tale’s subversion of established binaries was the mechanism by which wolf-killing men were, as Coleman put it in *Vicious*, “lionized.” The celebration of Mrs. Gewehrson’s masculinity on a national stage thus reflects a conditionality to settler lupine lore.

In violent defense of a heterosexualized, national role, print provided a vector by which feminine disruptors of established gender roles could be codified and contained, and perhaps, re-hewn. Mrs. Gewehrson’s axe is similarly double-edged: if wolves were enemies of the state, gendered regenerations of wolf lore in print must be considered ideological *weapons of state*. While the history of *Wolves and Men in America* has been written, we must further press Mrs. Gewehrson: for whom does your axe truly swing?
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