

The Sidoarjo Mudflow and the Muddiness of an Environmental Disaster

Anto Mohsin

Summary

This article describes the literal and figurative muddiness of an ongoing environmental disaster in Sidoarjo, East Java, Indonesia, where hot mud from a mud volcano has been spewing over and inundating an ever-increasing area. In a figurative sense, it is also muddy because of the unclear circumstances that led to the disaster, the ongoing debate about the cause of the disaster, and the murkiness of the government's treatment of the disaster victims.

The Sidoarjo mudflow, also known as “Lusi,” a contraction of its Indonesian name Lumpur Sidoarjo, is one of the longest ongoing disasters in recent memory. A mud volcano in the Sidoarjo district, East Java, Indonesia, has been spewing hot mud and gases since 29 May 2006. Its muck is inundating an ever-increasing area in the region.



Aerial view of the affected area in August 2006

Ikonos Satellite Image © Centre for Remote Imaging, Sensing and Processing, National University of Singapore (2006).

This work is used by permission of the copyright holder.



Aerial view of the affected area in May 2007

Ikonos Satellite Image © Centre for Remote Imaging, Sensing and Processing, National University of Singapore (2007).

This work is used by permission of the copyright holder.



Aerial view of the affected area in June 2008

Ikonos Satellite Image © Centre for Remote Imaging, Sensing and Processing, National University of Singapore (2008).

This work is used by permission of the copyright holder.



Aerial view of the affected area in June 2010

Ikonos Satellite Image © Centre for Remote Imaging, Sensing and Processing, National University of Singapore (2010).

This work is used by permission of the copyright holder.



Aerial view of the affected area in August 2013

Ikonos Satellite Image © Centre for Remote Imaging, Sensing and Processing, National University of Singapore (2013).

This work is used by permission of the copyright holder.

This disaster has attracted the attention of many scholars, including natural scientists (mainly geologists, but also petroleum engineers and earth scientists), humanities scholars, and social scientists, who have been examining the disaster using the analytical tools of their respective disciplines as well as drawing on multidisciplinary perspectives.

Popular accounts of the disaster have also filled the pages of Indonesia's well-known national print and digital media as well as of those abroad. In one such article, the Sidoarjo mudflow was labeled by journalist Erin Wayman as "the world's muddiest disaster." This label draws attention not just to the salient physical feature of the catastrophe, but also to the unclear circumstances that led to the disaster, the ongoing debate about the cause of the disaster, and the murkiness of the government's treatment of the disaster victims. In other words, Lusi is a disaster that is muddy literally and figuratively.

The Lusi disaster is muddy in the literal sense of the word because hot mud and gases have been continually erupting from underground for over 10 years now. The mud has drowned 15 villages, several paddy fields, dozens of factories and schools, countless houses, and a long segment of a toll road. This catastrophe, according to a [July 2015 *Nature Geoscience* article by Mark Tingay et al.](#), has internally displaced 39,700 people and cost more than USD 2.7 billion in damages. According to a [2016 *Environmental Communication* article by Phillip Drake](#), the earthen levees constructed to contain the mudflow cover an estimated area of "10 square kilometers and depth of 30 meters." It took me and my motorcycle driver about one hour to circumnavigate the perimeter of the dikes when I visited the site on 13 December 2016.

Figuratively speaking, the debate about the cause of the disaster among scientists who have investigated it has merely served to muddy the waters. On the one hand, there are those who support the “drilling hypothesis,” which contends that PT Lapindo Brantas’s reckless drilling activities caused the disaster. Many academic scientists such as Richard Davies of Newcastle University, Michael Manga of UC Berkeley’s Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, and Mark Tingay of the University of Adelaide support this hypothesis. On the other hand, there are other scientists who ascribe to the “earthquake hypothesis,” arguing that the eruption of the mudflow was triggered by an earthquake in the nearby city of Yogyakarta that had occurred two days earlier. Those who fall into this camp are scientists who are affiliated with Energi Mega Persada (the parent company of PT Lapindo Brantas) such as Nurrochamat Sawolo and his colleagues, as well as Adriano Mazzini of the University of Oslo and his co-authors on a [2007 *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* paper](#) that proposed this hypothesis.

Furthermore, there is much that is unclear about the circumstances that led to PT Lapindo Brantas’s exploratory drilling activities. In their book *Kronik Lumpur Lapindo Skandal Bencana Industri Pengeboran Migas di Sidoarjo*, Batubara and Utomo claim that PT Lapindo Brantas tricked some residents into selling their lands using an intermediary by promising to build a chicken farm. Moreover, sociologist Anton Novenanto also claims in his three-part article published on the activist website [Korbanlumpur.info](#) that, contrary to what many think, some government agencies were actually complicit in allowing the company to set up an exploratory well in a heavily populated area in the first place, in violation of Indonesia’s Mining Law.

Finally, the Indonesian government scheme to help the disaster victims was murky. The policies were ambivalent, haphazard, and unclear. In the disaster’s aftermath, the government issued a regulation and drew up a “map of the affected areas” (*peta area terdampak*) in an attempt to enclose the disaster geographically, financially, and politically. The map instead produced tensions, anxieties, anger, and frustration among residents since the compensation received was unequal. Subsequent amendments to the regulation did little to assuage the angst of many victims until late 2014, when a new government decided to settle the compensations once and for all. Even so, some environmental, health, and social problems persist. These issues afflict both residents who have lost their properties and those who were not directly affected by the disaster.

Arcadia Collection:

[Terms of Disaster](#)

Further readings:

- Batubara, Bosman, and Paring Waluyo Utomo. *Kronik Lumpur Lapindo Skandal Bencana Industri Pengeboran Migas di Sidoarjo*. Yogyakarta: INSISTPress, 2012.
- Davies, Richard, Michael Manga, Mark Tingay, Susila Lusianga, and Richard Swarbrick. “Sawolo et al. (2009) the Lusi Mud Volcano Controversy: Was it Caused by Drilling?” *Marine and Petroleum Geology* 27 (2010): 1651–57.

- Drake, Phillip. *Indonesia and the Politics of Disaster: Power and Representation in Indonesia's Mud Volcano*. London and New York: Routledge, 2017.
- Drake, Phillip. "Indonesia's Accidental Island: Composing the Environment in the Echo of Disaster." *Environmental Communication* 2016: 1–13.
- Novenanto, Anton, et al. *Membongkai Lapindo Pendekatan Konstruksi Sosial Atas Kasus Lapindo (Sebuah Bunga Rampai)*. Jakarta: Penerbit Kanisius, 2013.
- Sawolo, Nurrochmat, et al. "Was LUSI Caused by Drilling?—Authors Reply to Discussion." *Marine and Petroleum Geology* 27 (2010): 1658–75.
- Schiller, Jim, Anton Lucas, and Priyambudi Sulistiyanto. "Learning from the East Java Mudflow: Disaster Politics in Indonesia." *Indonesia* 85 (2008): 51–77.

Related links:

- A website created by activists to give a voice to the disaster victims (in Indonesian).
<http://korbanlumpur.info/>

How to cite:

Mohsin, Anto. "The Sidoarjo Mudflow and the Muddiness of an Environmental Disaster." *Environment & Society Portal, Arcadia* (Spring 2017), no. 5. Rachel Carson Center for Environment and Society.
<https://doi.org/10.5282/rcc/7767> .



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

2017 Anto Mohsin

This refers only to the text and does not include any image rights.

Please click on an image to view its individual rights status.

ISSN 2199-3408

Environment & Society Portal, Arcadia

Websites linked in this text:

- <http://seismo.berkeley.edu/~manga/tingayetal2015.pdf>
- <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17524032.2016.1211159>
- https://folk.uio.no/adrianom/et%20al%20Mazzini%20et%20al/Mazzini_EPSL_07.pdf
- <http://korbanlumpur.info/>

About the author:

Anto Mohsin

Anto Mohsin is an Assistant Professor in Residence in the Liberal Arts Program at Northwestern University in Qatar. He received his PhD in science and technology studies (STS) from Cornell University. Prior to joining NU-Q, he held a Henry Luce Postdoctoral Fellowship in Asian Environmental Studies at Hobart and William Smith Colleges. His teaching and

research interests include energy studies and environmental studies. His research has appeared in such publications as *Sojourn: Journal of Social Issues in Southeast Asia and East Asian Science, Technology and Society: An International Journal*. He has presented his work on the Sidoarjo mudflow disaster at the annual East-West Graduate Student Conference in 2009 and at the Sociotechnical Resilience workshop held at Nanyang Technological University in Singapore in June 2016.