



**Multimedia Library Collection:**

**Benatar, David, "Why the Naive Argument against Moral Vegetarianism Really is Naive"**

Benatar, David. "Why the Naive Argument against Moral Vegetarianism Really Is Naive." *Environmental Values* 10, no. 1 (2001): 103–12. doi:10.3197/096327101129340769 .

This is a response to Peter Alward's article "[The Naive Argument against Moral Vegetarianism](#)" in *Environmental Values* 9, no. 1.

When presented with the claim of the moral vegetarian that it is wrong for us to eat meat, many people respond that because it is not wrong for lions, tigers and other carnivores to kill and eat animals, it cannot be wrong for humans to do so. This response is what Peter Alward has called the naive argument. Peter Alward has defended the naive argument against objections. I argue that his defence fails. (Source: [The White Horse Press](#) )

© 2001 The White Horse Press. Republished with permission.

**Download:**

PDF: [https://www.environmentandsociety.org/sites/default/files/key\\_docs/ev\\_10no.1\\_benatar\\_david.pdf](https://www.environmentandsociety.org/sites/default/files/key_docs/ev_10no.1_benatar_david.pdf)

**Related links:**

- Article on the official website  
<http://www.ericademon.co.uk/EV/EV1006.html>
- The White Horse Press  
<http://www.ericademon.co.uk/>

**Websites linked in this text:**

- <http://dx.doi.org/10.3197/096327101129340769>
- <https://www.environmentandsociety.org/mml/naive-argument-against-moral-vegetarianism>

- <http://www.ericademon.co.uk/EV/EV1006.html>